

**California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2008/2009**  
**Low-Mod Fund Planning and Administration Costs Compared to Total Expenditures**  
**Listed by Agency in Alphabetical Order**

| <b>Redevelopment Agency</b> | <b>Agency<br/>Total Expenditures</b> | <b>Planning &amp; Administration<br/>Total Costs</b> | <b>Percent of Planning &amp; Administration<br/>Compared to Total Expenditures</b> |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| ADELANTO                    | \$351                                | \$351  | 100.0 %  |
| AGOURA HILLS                | \$1,049,640                          | \$348,413  | 33.2 %   |
| ALAMEDA CITY                | \$4,960,207                          | \$342,938  | 6.9 %  |
| ALAMEDA COUNTY              | \$4,977,068                          | \$184,132  | 3.7 %  |
| ALHAMBRA                    | \$1,052,597                          | \$446,715  | 42.4 %   |
| ANAHEIM                     | \$14,896,000                         | \$2,558,000  | 17.2 %   |
| ANDERSON                    | \$131,624                            | \$60,942   | 46.3 %   |
| ANTIOCH                     | \$1,979,811                          | \$110,800  | 5.6 %  |
| APPLE VALLEY                | \$369,696                            | \$76,316   | 20.6 %   |
| ARCADIA                     | \$96,061                             | \$96,061   | 100.0 %  |
| ARCATA                      | \$1,501,403                          | \$24,863   | 1.7 %  |
| ARROYO GRANDE               | \$198,634                            | \$47,780   | 24.1 %   |
| ARTESIA                     | \$5,514                              | \$5,514  | 100.0 %  |
| ARVIN                       | \$223,465                            | \$0  | 0.0 %  |
| ATASCADERO                  | \$22,232                             | \$22,232   | 100.0 %  |
| ATWATER                     | \$4,165,078                          | \$83,731   | 2.0 %  |
| AUBURN                      | \$6,546                              | \$0  | 0.0 %  |
| AVALON                      | \$3,389,374                          | \$18,187   | 0.5 %  |
| AVENAL                      | \$217,984                            | \$0  | 0.0 %  |
| AZUSA                       | \$9,256,830                          | \$221,192  | 2.4 %  |
| BAKERSFIELD                 | \$1,738,747                          | \$38,942   | 2.2 %  |
| BALDWIN PARK                | \$213,037                            | \$98,158   | 46.1 %   |
| BANNING                     | \$815,648                            | \$153,083  | 18.8 %   |
| BARSTOW                     | \$1,059,280                          | \$39,060   | 3.7 %  |
| BEAUMONT                    | \$782,100                            | \$0  | 0.0 %  |
| BELL                        | \$503,566                            | \$161,313  | 32.0 %   |
| BELLFLOWER                  | \$1,320,360                          | \$91,405   | 6.9 %  |
| BELMONT                     | \$3,571,684                          | \$410,409  | 11.5 %   |
| BERKELEY                    | \$298,395                            | \$0  | 0.0 %  |
| BIG BEAR LAKE               | \$723,220                            | \$436,121  | 60.3 %   |
| BLYTHE                      | \$3,390,968                          | \$0  | 0.0 %  |

**California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2008/2009**  
**Low-Mod Fund Planning and Administration Costs Compared to Total Expenditures**  
**Listed by Agency in Alphabetical Order**

| <b>Redevelopment Agency</b> | <b>Agency<br/>Total Expenditures</b> | <b>Planning &amp; Administration<br/>Total Costs</b> | <b>Percent of Planning &amp; Administration<br/>Compared to Total Expenditures</b> |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| BRAWLEY                     | \$183,602                            | \$153,563  | 83.6 %   |
| BREA                        | \$5,199,927                          | \$1,172,014  | 22.5 %   |
| BRENTWOOD                   | \$327,157                            | \$127,739  | 39.0 %   |
| BRISBANE                    | \$312,079                            | \$98,965   | 31.7 %   |
| BUELLTON                    | \$21,159                             | \$21,159   | 100.0 %  |
| BUENA PARK                  | \$9,171,109                          | \$384,788  | 4.2 %  |
| BURBANK                     | \$12,820,086                         | \$1,354,706  | 10.6 %   |
| CALEXICO                    | \$1,241,906                          | \$570,383  | 45.9 %   |
| CALIFORNIA CITY             | \$353,161                            | \$68,269   | 19.3 %   |
| CALIMESA                    | \$53,634                             | \$53,634   | 100.0 %  |
| CAMARILLO                   | \$659,034                            | \$18,934   | 2.9 %  |
| CAMPBELL                    | \$513,201                            | \$205,753  | 40.1 %   |
| CAPITOLA                    | \$1,046,137                          | \$280,755  | 26.8 %   |
| CARLSBAD                    | \$113,034                            | \$113,034  | 100.0 %  |
| CARSON                      | \$15,670,697                         | \$1,471,104  | 9.4 %  |
| CATHEDRAL CITY              | \$7,008,280                          | \$1,470,495  | 21.0 %   |
| CERES                       | \$490,656                            | \$209,856  | 42.8 %   |
| CERRITOS                    | \$2,126,044                          | \$1,573,826  | 74.0 %   |
| CHICO                       | \$4,394,273                          | \$608,585  | 13.8 %   |
| CHINO                       | \$2,567,554                          | \$1,687,716  | 65.7 %   |
| CHOWCHILLA                  | \$972,952                            | \$34,989   | 3.6 %  |
| CHULA VISTA                 | \$1,190,530                          | \$462,131  | 38.8 %   |
| CITRUS HEIGHTS              | \$317,705                            | \$83,976   | 26.4 %   |
| CLAREMONT                   | \$3,213,776                          | \$235,106  | 7.3 %  |
| CLAYTON                     | \$1,015,141                          | \$43,625   | 4.3 %  |
| CLEARLAKE                   | \$1,774,601                          | \$208,296  | 11.7 %   |
| CLOVERDALE                  | \$3,881,014                          | \$352,682  | 9.1 %  |
| CLOVIS                      | \$2,018,713                          | \$449,687  | 22.3 %   |
| COACHELLA                   | \$3,001,954                          | \$681,678  | 22.7 %   |
| COALINGA                    | \$461,259                            | \$39,798   | 8.6 %  |
| COLTON                      | \$4,133,697                          | \$376,753  | 9.1 %  |

**California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2008/2009**  
**Low-Mod Fund Planning and Administration Costs Compared to Total Expenditures**  
**Listed by Agency in Alphabetical Order**

| <b>Redevelopment Agency</b> | <b>Agency<br/>Total Expenditures</b> | <b>Planning &amp; Administration<br/>Total Costs</b> | <b>Percent of Planning &amp; Administration<br/>Compared to Total Expenditures</b> |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| COMMERCE                    | \$1,939,150                          | \$63,726   | 3.3 %  |
| COMPTON                     | \$6,145,932                          | \$888,309  | 14.5 %   |
| CONCORD                     | \$2,176,732                          | \$1,550,301  | 71.2 %   |
| CONTRA COSTA COUNTY         | \$5,824,896                          | \$4,423  | 0.1 %  |
| CORCORAN                    | \$339,089                            | \$316,663  | 93.4 %   |
| CORONA                      | \$4,189,754                          | \$873,836  | 20.9 %   |
| CORONADO                    | \$3,653,847                          | \$259,397  | 7.1 %  |
| COSTA MESA                  | \$1,129,420                          | \$322,790  | 28.6 %   |
| COTATI                      | \$326,785                            | \$211,065  | 64.6 %   |
| COVINA                      | \$2,204,424                          | \$699,356  | 31.7 %   |
| CRESCENT CITY               | \$640                                | \$640  | 100.0 %  |
| CUDAHY                      | \$2,372,222                          | \$36,300   | 1.5 %  |
| CULVER CITY                 | \$3,391,257                          | \$2,132,492  | 62.9 %   |
| CYPRESS                     | \$6,085,360                          | \$165,810  | 2.7 %  |
| DALY CITY                   | \$144,376                            | \$0  | 0.0 %  |
| DANVILLE                    | \$785,675                            | \$4,000  | 0.5 %  |
| DAVIS                       | \$1,004,034                          | \$426,744  | 42.5 %   |
| DELANO                      | \$313,704                            | \$255,894  | 81.6 %   |
| DESERT HOT SPRINGS          | \$1,230,425                          | \$493,244  | 40.1 %   |
| DINUBA                      | \$1,618,299                          | \$153,659  | 9.5 %  |
| DIXON                       | \$845,996                            | \$146,254  | 17.3 %   |
| DOWNEY                      | \$1,568,199                          | \$101,499  | 6.5 %  |
| DUARTE                      | \$3,805,442                          | \$201,741  | 5.3 %  |
| EAST PALO ALTO              | \$637,105                            | \$51,881   | 8.1 %  |
| EL CAJON                    | \$4,648,733                          | \$596,935  | 12.8 %   |
| EL CENTRO                   | \$1,869,764                          | \$416,661  | 22.3 %   |
| EL CERRITO                  | \$8,453,445                          | \$387,535  | 4.6 %  |
| EL MONTE                    | \$2,107,591                          | \$1,005,905  | 47.7 %   |
| EMERYVILLE                  | \$5,596,585                          | \$714,508  | 12.8 %   |
| ESCONDIDO                   | \$6,060,268                          | \$336,362  | 5.6 %  |
| EUREKA                      | \$2,461,830                          | \$357,528  | 14.5 %   |

**California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2008/2009**  
**Low-Mod Fund Planning and Administration Costs Compared to Total Expenditures**  
**Listed by Agency in Alphabetical Order**

| <b>Redevelopment Agency</b> | <b>Agency<br/>Total Expenditures</b> | <b>Planning &amp; Administration<br/>Total Costs</b> | <b>Percent of Planning &amp; Administration<br/>Compared to Total Expenditures</b> |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| EXETER                      | \$154,502                            | \$41,724   | 27.0 %   |
| FAIRFIELD                   | \$10,210,458                         | \$1,804,958  | 17.7 %   |
| FARMERSVILLE                | \$14,222                             | \$14,222   | 100.0 %  |
| FILLMORE                    | \$2,140,571                          | \$445,511  | 20.8 %   |
| FIREBAUGH                   | \$424,982                            | \$151,838  | 35.7 %   |
| FOLSOM                      | \$1,190,636                          | \$340,067  | 28.6 %   |
| FONTANA                     | \$23,979,422                         | \$566,351  | 2.4 %  |
| FORT BRAGG                  | \$220,583                            | \$220,583  | 100.0 %  |
| FORTUNA                     | \$180,793                            | \$12,118   | 6.7 %  |
| FOSTER CITY                 | \$3,792,451                          | \$591,219  | 15.6 %   |
| FOUNTAIN VALLEY             | \$4,466,321                          | \$28,587   | 0.6 %  |
| FOWLER                      | \$269,407                            | \$0  | 0.0 %  |
| FREMONT                     | \$21,874,591                         | \$1,087,052  | 5.0 %  |
| FRESNO CITY                 | \$3,412,959                          | \$400,643  | 11.7 %   |
| FULLERTON                   | \$2,026,702                          | \$1,930,489  | 95.3 %   |
| GALT                        | \$377,551                            | \$353,341  | 93.6 %   |
| GARDEN GROVE                | \$1,374,169                          | \$402,989  | 29.3 %   |
| GLENDALE                    | \$19,329,426                         | \$1,401,331  | 7.2 %  |
| GLENDORA                    | \$1,297,321                          | \$383,128  | 29.5 %   |
| GOLETA                      | \$3,203,389                          | \$98,483   | 3.1 %  |
| GONZALES                    | \$274,664                            | \$53,526   | 19.5 %   |
| GRAND TERRACE               | \$4,155,751                          | \$575,837  | 13.9 %   |
| GRASS VALLEY                | \$445,617                            | \$120,617  | 27.1 %   |
| GREENFIELD                  | \$1,449,974                          | \$149,459  | 10.3 %   |
| GROVER BEACH                | \$930,411                            | \$19,318   | 2.1 %  |
| GUADALUPE                   | \$926,784                            | \$215,151  | 23.2 %   |
| HANFORD                     | \$446,710                            | \$326,310  | 73.0 %   |
| HAWAIIAN GARDENS            | \$433,063                            | \$144,328  | 33.3 %   |
| HAWTHORNE                   | \$3,876,136                          | \$47,901   | 1.2 %  |
| HAYWARD                     | \$1,691,681                          | \$312,702  | 18.5 %   |
| HEALDSBURG                  | \$5,646,301                          | \$527,279  | 9.3 %  |

**California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2008/2009**  
**Low-Mod Fund Planning and Administration Costs Compared to Total Expenditures**  
**Listed by Agency in Alphabetical Order**

| <b>Redevelopment Agency</b> | <b>Agency<br/>Total Expenditures</b> | <b>Planning &amp; Administration<br/>Total Costs</b> | <b>Percent of Planning &amp; Administration<br/>Compared to Total Expenditures</b> |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| HEMET                       | \$2,176,095                          | \$1,068,195  | 49.1 %   |
| HERCULES                    | \$5,924,554                          | \$1,061,742  | 17.9 %   |
| HESPERIA                    | \$11,110,649                         | \$315,248  | 2.8 %  |
| HIGHLAND                    | \$2,764,342                          | \$1,030,734  | 37.3 %   |
| HOLLISTER                   | \$2,584,494                          | \$2,381,076  | 92.1 %   |
| HOLTVILLE                   | \$59,059                             | \$0  | 0.0 %  |
| HUGHSON                     | \$133,400                            | \$92,321   | 69.2 %   |
| HUNTINGTON BEACH            | \$8,378,655                          | \$377,552  | 4.5 %  |
| HUNTINGTON PARK             | \$1,004,159                          | \$1,004,159  | 100.0 %  |
| HURON                       | \$105,071                            | \$0  | 0.0 %  |
| IMPERIAL BEACH              | \$2,596,663                          | \$280,603  | 10.8 %   |
| IMPERIAL CITY               | \$556,508                            | \$355,682  | 63.9 %   |
| INDIAN WELLS                | \$8,995,766                          | \$1,364,582  | 15.2 %   |
| INDIO                       | \$2,302,169                          | \$1,244,122  | 54.0 %   |
| INDUSTRY                    | \$18,715,811                         | \$0  | 0.0 %  |
| INGLEWOOD                   | \$2,840,803                          | \$531,344  | 18.7 %   |
| INLAND VALLEY               | \$6,475,005                          | \$42,773   | 0.7 %  |
| IRWINDALE                   | \$3,485,463                          | \$549,574  | 15.8 %   |
| KERMAN                      | \$71,204                             | \$59,089   | 83.0 %   |
| KINGSBURG                   | \$2,715                              | \$2,715  | 100.0 %  |
| LA HABRA                    | \$911,674                            | \$280,651  | 30.8 %   |
| LA MESA                     | \$2,263,196                          | \$57,254   | 2.5 %  |
| LA MIRADA                   | \$2,310,975                          | \$480,118  | 20.8 %   |
| LA PALMA                    | \$588,210                            | \$278,062  | 47.3 %   |
| LA PUENTE                   | \$169,428                            | \$169,428  | 100.0 %  |
| LA QUINTA                   | \$29,744,309                         | \$1,851,834  | 6.2 %  |
| LA VERNE                    | \$1,769,436                          | \$781,386  | 44.2 %   |
| LAFAYETTE                   | \$2,952,929                          | \$82,053   | 2.8 %  |
| LAKE COUNTY                 | \$385,675                            | \$25,676   | 6.7 %  |
| LAKE ELSINORE               | \$1,044,957                          | \$0  | 0.0 %  |
| LAKE FOREST                 | \$304,165                            | \$175,923  | 57.8 %   |

**California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2008/2009**  
**Low-Mod Fund Planning and Administration Costs Compared to Total Expenditures**  
**Listed by Agency in Alphabetical Order**

| <b>Redevelopment Agency</b> | <b>Agency<br/>Total Expenditures</b> | <b>Planning &amp; Administration<br/>Total Costs</b> | <b>Percent of Planning &amp; Administration<br/>Compared to Total Expenditures</b> |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| LAKEPORT                    | \$546,022                            | \$545,572  | 99.9 %   |
| LAKEWOOD                    | \$1,125,425                          | \$387,311  | 34.4 %   |
| LANCASTER                   | \$32,816,182                         | \$3,313,132  | 10.1 %   |
| LAWNDALE                    | \$809,702                            | \$13,997   | 1.7 %  |
| LEMON GROVE                 | \$4,493,061                          | \$19,533   | 0.4 %  |
| LEMOORE                     | \$3,192,198                          | \$179,326  | 5.6 %  |
| LINCOLN                     | \$301,552                            | \$136,966  | 45.4 %   |
| LINDSAY                     | \$402,686                            | \$109,890  | 27.3 %   |
| LIVERMORE                   | \$1,093,666                          | \$343,816  | 31.4 %   |
| LOMA LINDA                  | \$7,047,476                          | \$1,067,662  | 15.1 %   |
| LOMPOC                      | \$174,467                            | \$174,467  | 100.0 %  |
| LONG BEACH                  | \$24,288,772                         | \$4,469,641  | 18.4 %   |
| LOS ANGELES CITY            | \$86,298,000                         | \$8,213,000  | 9.5 %  |
| LOS ANGELES COUNTY          | \$563,123                            | \$210,131  | 37.3 %   |
| LOS BANOS                   | \$284,423                            | \$89,835   | 31.6 %   |
| LOS GATOS                   | \$558,544                            | \$322,569  | 57.8 %   |
| LYNWOOD                     | \$4,972,565                          | \$507,042  | 10.2 %   |
| MADERA CITY                 | \$7,211,517                          | \$341,797  | 4.7 %  |
| MANTECA                     | \$1,575,598                          | \$65,496   | 4.2 %  |
| MARCH AIRFORCE BASE         | \$165,825                            | \$82,880   | 50.0 %   |
| MARIN COUNTY                | \$346,168                            | \$0  | 0.0 %  |
| MARINA                      | \$1,793,019                          | \$422,910  | 23.6 %   |
| MARYSVILLE                  | \$112,381                            | \$112,381  | 100.0 %  |
| MAYWOOD                     | \$845,058                            | \$77,548   | 9.2 %  |
| MENDOTA                     | \$335                                | \$335  | 100.0 %  |
| MENLO PARK                  | \$2,652,822                          | \$1,581,265  | 59.6 %   |
| MERCED CITY                 | \$1,617,701                          | \$342,137  | 21.1 %   |
| MILLBRAE                    | \$2,990,935                          | \$163,514  | 5.5 %  |
| MILPITAS                    | \$6,714,568                          | \$1,604,742  | 23.9 %   |
| MISSION VIEJO               | \$81,706                             | \$78,592   | 96.2 %   |
| MODESTO                     | \$5,642,503                          | \$115,601  | 2.0 %  |

**California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2008/2009**  
**Low-Mod Fund Planning and Administration Costs Compared to Total Expenditures**  
**Listed by Agency in Alphabetical Order**

| <b>Redevelopment Agency</b> | <b>Agency<br/>Total Expenditures</b> | <b>Planning &amp; Administration<br/>Total Costs</b> | <b>Percent of Planning &amp; Administration<br/>Compared to Total Expenditures</b> |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| MONROVIA                    | \$8,043,072                          | \$983,647  | 12.2 %   |
| MONTCLAIR                   | \$1,730,432                          | \$288,032  | 16.6 %   |
| MONTEBELLO                  | \$1,744,960                          | \$61,230   | 3.5 %  |
| MONTEREY CITY               | \$3,390,008                          | \$585,354  | 17.3 %   |
| MONTEREY COUNTY             | \$1,259,703                          | \$361,535  | 28.7 %   |
| MONTEREY PARK               | \$1,648,534                          | \$805,427  | 48.9 %   |
| MOORPARK                    | \$2,063,836                          | \$319,485  | 15.5 %   |
| MORENO VALLEY               | \$1,172,953                          | \$653,693  | 55.7 %   |
| MORGAN HILL                 | \$10,925,027                         | \$1,444,702  | 13.2 %   |
| MURRIETA                    | \$6,121,236                          | \$384,706  | 6.3 %  |
| NAPA CITY                   | \$966,775                            | \$0  | 0.0 %  |
| NATIONAL CITY               | \$1,131,792                          | \$197,111  | 17.4 %   |
| NEWMAN                      | \$84,296                             | \$29,336   | 34.8 %   |
| NORCO                       | \$2,575,999                          | \$488,733  | 19.0 %   |
| NORWALK                     | \$954,973                            | \$109,786  | 11.5 %   |
| NOVATO                      | \$2,920,411                          | \$513,263  | 17.6 %   |
| OAKDALE                     | \$946,611                            | \$119,338  | 12.6 %   |
| OAKLAND                     | \$31,798,112                         | \$6,033,898  | 19.0 %   |
| OAKLEY                      | \$481,880                            | \$338,662  | 70.3 %   |
| OCEANSIDE                   | \$5,808,540                          | \$208,540  | 3.6 %  |
| OJAI                        | \$136,582                            | \$121,582  | 89.0 %   |
| ONTARIO                     | \$7,196,690                          | \$2,114,076  | 29.4 %   |
| ORANGE CITY                 | \$6,808,119                          | \$961,825  | 14.1 %   |
| ORANGE COUNTY               | \$1,843,106                          | \$230,436  | 12.5 %   |
| ORANGE COVE                 | \$172,330                            | \$93,128   | 54.0 %   |
| OROVILLE                    | \$1,637,380                          | \$229,927  | 14.0 %   |
| OXNARD                      | \$863,109                            | \$775,798  | 89.9 %   |
| PACIFICA                    | \$17,930                             | \$0  | 0.0 %  |
| PALM DESERT                 | \$23,343,744                         | \$2,562,483  | 11.0 %   |
| PALM SPRINGS                | \$1,577,564                          | \$404,774  | 25.7 %   |
| PALMDALE                    | \$6,303,527                          | \$2,521,031  | 40.0 %   |

**California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2008/2009**  
**Low-Mod Fund Planning and Administration Costs Compared to Total Expenditures**  
**Listed by Agency in Alphabetical Order**

| <b>Redevelopment Agency</b> | <b>Agency<br/>Total Expenditures</b> | <b>Planning &amp; Administration<br/>Total Costs</b> | <b>Percent of Planning &amp; Administration<br/>Compared to Total Expenditures</b> |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| PARADISE                    | \$498,754                            | \$87,516   | 17.5 %   |
| PARAMOUNT                   | \$4,104,171                          | \$236,764  | 5.8 %  |
| PARLIER                     | \$1,626,308                          | \$165,993  | 10.2 %   |
| PASADENA                    | \$3,810,724                          | \$1,724,381  | 45.3 %   |
| PASO ROBLES                 | \$561,961                            | \$261,961  | 46.6 %   |
| PATTERSON                   | \$342                                | \$342  | 100.0 %  |
| PERRIS                      | \$2,643,713                          | \$739,707  | 28.0 %   |
| PETALUMA                    | \$5,475,961                          | \$348,765  | 6.4 %  |
| PICO RIVERA                 | \$732,092                            | \$60,662   | 8.3 %  |
| PINOLE                      | \$2,395,578                          | \$483,572  | 20.2 %   |
| PISMO BEACH                 | \$641,604                            | \$141,255  | 22.0 %   |
| PITTSBURG                   | \$9,100,118                          | \$165,480  | 1.8 %  |
| PLACENTIA                   | \$2,127,149                          | \$129,498  | 6.1 %  |
| PLACER COUNTY               | \$3,549,552                          | \$661,222  | 18.6 %   |
| PLEASANT HILL               | \$1,254,708                          | \$289,408  | 23.1 %   |
| POMONA                      | \$7,634,390                          | \$91,808   | 1.2 %  |
| PORT HUENEME                | \$1,785,998                          | \$616,083  | 34.5 %   |
| PORTERVILLE                 | \$1,297,900                          | \$53,040   | 4.1 %  |
| POWAY                       | \$2,422,737                          | \$559,126  | 23.1 %   |
| RANCHO CUCAMONGA            | \$42,868,015                         | \$590,700  | 1.4 %  |
| RANCHO MIRAGE               | \$11,182,529                         | \$122,176  | 1.1 %  |
| RANCHO PALOS VERDES         | \$740,901                            | \$305,371  | 41.2 %   |
| REDDING                     | \$6,870,914                          | \$739,561  | 10.8 %   |
| REDLANDS                    | \$7,416,657                          | \$848,949  | 11.4 %   |
| REDONDO BEACH               | \$987,671                            | \$392,631  | 39.8 %   |
| REDWOOD CITY                | \$1,754,210                          | \$743,966  | 42.4 %   |
| REEDLEY                     | \$435,638                            | \$435,638  | 100.0 %  |
| RIALTO                      | \$9,465,158                          | \$597,304  | 6.3 %  |
| RICHMOND                    | \$9,546,791                          | \$2,369,114  | 24.8 %   |
| RIDGECREST                  | \$960,997                            | \$322,130  | 33.5 %   |
| RIO VISTA                   | \$107,928                            | \$107,928  | 100.0 %  |



**California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2008/2009**  
**Low-Mod Fund Planning and Administration Costs Compared to Total Expenditures**  
**Listed by Agency in Alphabetical Order**

| Redevelopment Agency  | Agency<br>Total Expenditures | Planning & Administration<br>Total Costs | Percent of Planning & Administration<br>Compared to Total Expenditures |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| RIPON                 | \$535,842                    | \$232,758                                | 43.4 %   |
| RIVERBANK             | \$828,330                    | \$28,330                                 | 3.4 %  |
| RIVERSIDE CITY        | \$18,178,706                 | \$2,792,345                              | 15.4 %   |
| RIVERSIDE COUNTY      | \$33,701,806                 | \$3,785,201                              | 11.2 %   |
| ROCKLIN               | \$5,403,009                  | \$693,825                                | 12.8 %   |
| ROHNERT PARK          | \$2,886,794                  | \$1,124,833                              | 39.0 %   |
| ROSEMEAD              | \$256,220                    | \$0                                      | 0.0 %  |
| ROSEVILLE             | \$1,275,863                  | \$137,594                                | 10.8 %   |
| SACRAMENTO CITY       | \$31,805,985                 | \$943,627                                | 3.0 %  |
| SACRAMENTO COUNTY     | \$7,987,260                  | \$388,712                                | 4.9 %  |
| SALINAS               | \$4,155,944                  | \$290,576                                | 7.0 %  |
| SAN BERNARDINO CITY   | \$12,746,705                 | \$1,483,095                              | 11.6 %   |
| SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY | \$2,740,380                  | \$394,347                                | 14.4 %   |
| SAN BRUNO             | \$1,151,754                  | \$470,714                                | 40.9 %   |
| SAN BUENAVENTURA      | \$362,255                    | \$362,255                                | 100.0 %  |
| SAN CARLOS            | \$566,213                    | \$336,847                                | 59.5 %   |
| SAN CLEMENTE          | \$249,681                    | \$249,681                                | 100.0 %  |
| SAN DIEGO CITY        | \$57,265,780                 | \$2,538,663                              | 4.4 %  |
| SAN DIEGO COUNTY      | \$101,071                    | \$8,870                                  | 8.8 %  |
| SAN DIMAS             | \$3,115,682                  | \$210,565                                | 6.8 %  |
| SAN FERNANDO          | \$1,147,887                  | \$728,827                                | 63.5 %   |
| SAN FRANCISCO         | \$142,531,913                | \$6,654,553                              | 4.7 %  |
| SAN GABRIEL           | \$58,079                     | \$58,079                                 | 100.0 %  |
| SAN JACINTO           | \$1,235,267                  | \$296,223                                | 24.0 %   |
| SAN JOAQUIN CITY      | \$71,127                     | \$71,127                                 | 100.0 %  |
| SAN JOSE              | \$95,744,738                 | \$14,885,827                             | 15.5 %   |
| SAN JUAN CAPISTRANO   | \$4,629,243                  | \$198,600                                | 4.3 %  |
| SAN LEANDRO           | \$4,864,189                  | \$637,432                                | 13.1 %   |
| SAN MARCOS            | \$41,237,447                 | \$822,121                                | 2.0 %  |
| SAN MATEO CITY        | \$3,473,145                  | \$1,065,778                              | 30.7 %   |
| SAN PABLO             | \$6,156,620                  | \$0                                      | 0.0 %  |

**California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2008/2009**  
**Low-Mod Fund Planning and Administration Costs Compared to Total Expenditures**  
**Listed by Agency in Alphabetical Order**

| <b>Redevelopment Agency</b> | <b>Agency<br/>Total Expenditures</b> | <b>Planning &amp; Administration<br/>Total Costs</b> | <b>Percent of Planning &amp; Administration<br/>Compared to Total Expenditures</b> |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| SAN RAFAEL                  | \$1,301,888                          | \$347,004  | 26.7 %   |
| SAN RAMON                   | \$4,184,334                          | \$1,377,952  | 32.9 %   |
| SANGER                      | \$457,530                            | \$243,990  | 53.3 %   |
| SANTA ANA                   | \$10,775,248                         | \$2,604,305  | 24.2 %   |
| SANTA BARBARA CITY          | \$1,560,475                          | \$836,038  | 53.6 %   |
| SANTA BARBARA COUNTY        | \$3,298,796                          | \$25,239   | 0.8 %  |
| SANTA CLARA CITY            | \$7,967,347                          | \$469,163  | 5.9 %  |
| SANTA CLARITA               | \$527,051                            | \$185,253  | 35.1 %   |
| SANTA CRUZ CITY             | \$3,808,263                          | \$193,500  | 5.1 %  |
| SANTA CRUZ COUNTY           | \$17,548,161                         | \$1,410,240  | 8.0 %  |
| SANTA FE SPRINGS            | \$4,329,480                          | \$565,499  | 13.1 %   |
| SANTA MONICA                | \$36,514,450                         | \$34,584   | 0.1 %  |
| SANTA PAULA                 | \$603,375                            | \$406,599  | 67.4 %   |
| SANTA ROSA                  | \$9,999,676                          | \$283,445  | 2.8 %  |
| SANTEE                      | \$937,100                            | \$559,075  | 59.7 %   |
| SCOTTS VALLEY               | \$4,025,055                          | \$190,581  | 4.7 %  |
| SEAL BEACH                  | \$441,385                            | \$0  | 0.0 %  |
| SEASIDE                     | \$1,921,787                          | \$295,323  | 15.4 %   |
| SEBASTOPOL                  | \$161,710                            | \$61,952   | 38.3 %   |
| SELMA                       | \$372,002                            | \$4,736  | 1.3 %  |
| SHAFTER                     | \$871,419                            | \$400,946  | 46.0 %   |
| SHASTA LAKE                 | \$1,487,738                          | \$498,284  | 33.5 %   |
| SIERRA MADRE                | \$164,567                            | \$126,978  | 77.2 %   |
| SIGNAL HILL                 | \$1,784,103                          | \$187,298  | 10.5 %   |
| SIMI VALLEY                 | \$4,073,927                          | \$1,893,747  | 46.5 %   |
| SOLANA BEACH                | \$672                                | \$672  | 100.0 %  |
| SOLEDAD                     | \$459,185                            | \$83,549   | 18.2 %   |
| SONOMA CITY                 | \$973,672                            | \$387,618  | 39.8 %   |
| SONOMA COUNTY               | \$471,029                            | \$191,257  | 40.6 %   |
| SONORA                      | \$52,909                             | \$32,909   | 62.2 %   |
| SOUTH EL MONTE              | \$4,255,991                          | \$225,880  | 5.3 %  |

**California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2008/2009**  
**Low-Mod Fund Planning and Administration Costs Compared to Total Expenditures**  
**Listed by Agency in Alphabetical Order**

| <b>Redevelopment Agency</b> | <b>Agency<br/>Total Expenditures</b> | <b>Planning &amp; Administration<br/>Total Costs</b> | <b>Percent of Planning &amp; Administration<br/>Compared to Total Expenditures</b> |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| SOUTH GATE                  | \$1,358,179                          | \$0  | 0.0 %  |
| SOUTH LAKE TAHOE            | \$2,010,846                          | \$0  | 0.0 %  |
| SOUTH PASADENA              | \$123,826                            | \$84,674   | 68.4 %   |
| SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO         | \$6,125,027                          | \$933,581  | 15.2 %   |
| STANISLAUS COUNTY           | \$616,146                            | \$0  | 0.0 %  |
| STANISLAUS-CERES            | \$139,032                            | \$7,198  | 5.2 %  |
| STANTON                     | \$648,230                            | \$205,658  | 31.7 %   |
| STOCKTON                    | \$5,186,394                          | \$542,150  | 10.5 %   |
| SUISUN CITY                 | \$1,891,541                          | \$458,046  | 24.2 %   |
| TAFT                        | \$80,468                             | \$7,924  | 9.8 %  |
| TEHACHAPI                   | \$330,505                            | \$113,319  | 34.3 %   |
| TEMECULA                    | \$1,624,597                          | \$747,337  | 46.0 %   |
| TEMPLE CITY                 | \$473,666                            | \$25,917   | 5.5 %  |
| THOUSAND OAKS               | \$3,217,421                          | \$865,825  | 26.9 %   |
| TIBURON                     | \$1,000                              | \$1,000  | 100.0 %  |
| TORRANCE                    | \$389,513                            | \$4,750  | 1.2 %  |
| TRACY                       | \$4,879,834                          | \$130,116  | 2.7 %  |
| TRUCKEE                     | \$104,660                            | \$104,660  | 100.0 %  |
| TULARE CITY                 | \$189,573                            | \$82,619   | 43.6 %   |
| TULARE COUNTY               | \$663,960                            | \$663,960  | 100.0 %  |
| TURLOCK                     | \$1,600,699                          | \$491,876  | 30.7 %   |
| TUSTIN                      | \$3,483,106                          | \$331,172  | 9.5 %  |
| TWENTYNINE PALMS            | \$30,580                             | \$30,580   | 100.0 %  |
| UKIAH                       | \$1,571,764                          | \$179,561  | 11.4 %   |
| UNION CITY                  | \$3,034,136                          | \$742,288  | 24.5 %   |
| UPLAND                      | \$2,847,035                          | \$1,257,319  | 44.2 %   |
| VACAVILLE                   | \$5,284,824                          | \$1,076,463  | 20.4 %   |
| VALLEJO                     | \$980,332                            | \$179,499  | 18.3 %   |
| VENTURA COUNTY              | \$119,513                            | \$6,729  | 5.6 %  |
| VICTOR VALLEY               | \$8,428,889                          | \$391,510  | 4.6 %  |
| VICTORVILLE                 | \$2,019,995                          | \$304,516  | 15.1 %   |

**California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2008/2009**  
**Low-Mod Fund Planning and Administration Costs Compared to Total Expenditures**  
**Listed by Agency in Alphabetical Order**

| <b>Redevelopment Agency</b> | <b>Agency<br/>Total Expenditures</b> | <b>Planning &amp; Administration<br/>Total Costs</b> | <b>Percent of Planning &amp; Administration<br/>Compared to Total Expenditures</b> |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| VISALIA                     | \$1,097,129                          | \$360,826  | 32.9 %   |
| VISTA                       | \$1,806,374                          | \$961,506  | 53.2 %   |
| WALNUT                      | \$556,743                            | \$1,087  | 0.2 %  |
| WALNUT CREEK                | \$1,489,254                          | \$73,042   | 4.9 %  |
| WASCO                       | \$1,759                              | \$1,759  | 100.0 %  |
| WATERFORD                   | \$169                                | \$169  | 100.0 %  |
| WATSONVILLE                 | \$1,046,157                          | \$193,929  | 18.5 %   |
| WEST COVINA                 | \$6,813,944                          | \$1,567,806  | 23.0 %   |
| WEST HOLLYWOOD              | \$264,314                            | \$25,797   | 9.8 %  |
| WEST SACRAMENTO             | \$9,278,731                          | \$1,275,900  | 13.8 %   |
| WESTMINSTER                 | \$1,632,535                          | \$1,053,932  | 64.6 %   |
| WESTMORLAND                 | \$21,933                             | \$2,809  | 12.8 %   |
| WHITTIER                    | \$376,960                            | \$184,918  | 49.1 %   |
| WILLITS                     | \$191,592                            | \$65,527   | 34.2 %   |
| WINDSOR/SONOMA              | \$672,712                            | \$135,461  | 20.1 %   |
| WINTERS                     | \$720,648                            | \$273,328  | 37.9 %   |
| WOODLAKE                    | \$479,086                            | \$15,079   | 3.1 %  |
| YORBA LINDA                 | \$563,919                            | \$432,897  | 76.8 %   |
| YUBA CITY                   | \$1,347,590                          | \$37,739   | 2.8 %  |
| YUCAIPA                     | \$104,271                            | \$93,139   | 89.3 %   |
| YUCCA VALLEY                | \$90,339                             | \$4,849  | 5.4 %  |
| <b>Statewide Totals</b>     | <b>\$1,655,242,368</b>               | <b>\$198,750,517</b>                                 | <b>12.0 %</b>  |

**Agency Count: 362**